

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

---

FEBRUARY 24, 1846.

Submitted, and ordered to be printed.

---

Mr. TURNEY made the following

REPORT :

*The Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the "petition of Robert and William Armstrong, of Ohio, for compensation for services as spies in the army of General Wayne," have had the same under consideration, and report :*

The petitioners state that they served as volunteer spies in a company commanded by their father, John Armstrong, from the early part of April until November, 1793, for which they have never been paid, and now ask compensation. In proof of the alleged service, they offer the deposition of Joseph Cox, who says he enlisted and served in the same company; but of whose service there is no evidence whatever. If the petitioners rendered the service for which they now claim compensation, the muster roll of Captain Armstrong's company doubtless contains their names and that of Joseph Cox, and should be produced in evidence of the truth of what they assert. More than fifty years have now elapsed since the date of the service, and during all this time, so far as appears by the testimony in the case, no claim to compensation has been asserted—a circumstance well calculated to create distrust in the minds of your committee. In view of the entire absence of all competent evidence, and the delay which has occurred, the committee think the claim should not be allowed, and report the following resolution :

*Resolved, That the petitioners are not entitled to relief.*

Ritchie & Heiss, print.

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 24, 1846.

Read, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. TURNER made the following

REPORT:

The Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Robert and William Armstrong, of Ohio, for compensation for services as spies in the army of General Wayne, have had the same under consideration, and report:

The petitioners state that they served as volunteer spies in a company commanded by their father, John Armstrong, from the early part of April until November, 1793, for which they have never been paid, and now ask compensation. In proof of the alleged service, they offer the deposition of Joseph Cox, who says he enlisted and served in the same company; but of whose entry there is no evidence whatever. If the petitioners rendered the service for which they now claim compensation, the muster roll of Captain Armstrong's company doubtless contains their names and that of Joseph Cox, and should be produced in evidence of the truth of what they assert. More than fifty years have now elapsed since the date of the service, and during all this time so far as appears by the testimony in the case, no claim to compensation has been asserted—a circumstance well calculated to create distrust in the minds of your committee. In view of the entire absence of all competent evidence, and the delay which has occurred, the committee think the claim should not be allowed, and report the following resolution:

Resolved, That the petitioners are not entitled to relief.

Wm. A. Hays, printer.